

INVARIANT METRIC f -STRUCTURES ON SPECIFIC HOMOGENEOUS REDUCTIVE SPACES

ANNA SAKOVICH

ABSTRACT. For homogeneous reductive spaces G/H with reductive complements decomposable into an orthogonal sum $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_3$ of three $Ad(H)$ -invariant irreducible mutually inequivalent submodules we establish simple conditions under which an invariant metric f -structure (f, g) belongs to the classes **G₁f**, **NKf**, and **Killf** of generalized Hermitian geometry. The statements obtained are then illustrated with four examples. Namely we consider invariant metric f -structures on the manifolds of oriented flags $SO(n)/SO(2) \times SO(n-3)$ ($n \geq 4$), the Stiefel manifold $SO(4)/SO(2)$, the complex flag manifold $SU(3)/T_{max}$, and the quaternionic flag manifold $Sp(3)/SU(2) \times SU(2) \times SU(2)$.

INTRODUCTION

The concept of generalized Hermitian geometry (see, for example, [16]) was created in the 1980s as a natural consequence of the development of Hermitian geometry and the theory of almost contact structures. One of the central objects in this concept is the metric f -structure (f, g) , that is, an f -structure [21] f compatible with an invariant Riemannian metric g .

An interesting problem that arises in this context is to determine whether a given metric f -structure belongs to the main classes of generalized Hermitian geometry, for example, to the classes **G₁f** (see [16]), **NKf** (see [6] and [7]), and **Killf** (see [14] and [15]). It should be emphasized that in the case of naturally reductive manifolds [18] there exist a number of results that transform this problem into an easy computational task ([6], [8], [4], [5]). However, in the case of an arbitrary Riemannian metric this problem is not an easy one, at least because it involves the calculation of the implicitly defined Levi-Civita connection.

In this paper we consider invariant metric f -structures (f, g) on specific homogeneous reductive spaces G/H , namely on homogeneous reductive spaces that satisfy the following set of conditions:

- 1) G is a compact semisimple Lie group (hence the Killing form B of G is negative definite).
- 2) The reductive complement $\mathfrak{m}$ admits the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_3$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C15, 53C30; Secondary 53C10.

Key words and phrases. Homogeneous reductive space, f -structure, invariant structure, nearly Kähler structure, flag manifold.

Acknowledgements. The author is grateful to Vitaly V. Balashchenko for stimulating discussion that has initiated this paper.

into the direct sum of $Ad(H)$ -invariant irreducible mutually non-equivalent submodules and this decomposition is B -orthogonal.

3)

$$0 \neq [\mathfrak{m}_i, \mathfrak{m}_{i+1}] \subset \mathfrak{m}_{i+2} \pmod{3}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

4)

$$[\mathfrak{m}_i, \mathfrak{m}_i] \subset \mathfrak{h}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

where $\mathfrak{h}$ is the Lie algebra of H .

In this case it is not difficult to obtain an explicit formula for the Levi-Civita connection of a Riemannian manifold $(G/H, g)$. At the same time, for any nontrivial invariant f -structure which is not an almost complex structure [18] there exists such $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ that either $\text{Im } f = \mathfrak{m}_i$ or $\text{Ker } f = \mathfrak{m}_i$. This, in its turn, has enabled us to obtain easy-to-check characteristic conditions (Theorem 2 and Theorem 3) for metric f -structures (f, g) under which they belong to the aforementioned classes of generalized Hermitian geometry.

Note that this paper was initiated by the study of the manifolds of oriented flags $SO(n)/SO(2) \times SO(n-3)$ ($n \geq 4$). In [10] it was shown that these homogeneous spaces satisfy the conditions 1) – 4). In the last section of this paper we provide other examples of such spaces. Namely, by making use of Theorem 2 and Theorem 3, we consider invariant metric f -structures on the Stiefel manifold $SO(4)/SO(2)$, the complex flag manifold $SU(3)/T_{max}$, and the quaternionic flag manifold $Sp(3)/SU(2) \times SU(2) \times SU(2)$.

1. PRELIMINARIES

1.1. Invariant f -structures on homogeneous reductive spaces. Homogeneous reductive spaces make up the main subject of our further considerations. Therefore we begin with recollecting some basic facts related to them.

Definition 1. [19] Let G be a connected Lie group, H its closed subgroup, $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ the corresponding Lie algebras. G/H is called a *homogeneous reductive space* if there exists $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ such that

- 1) $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$.
- 2) For any $h \in H$ $Ad(h)\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{m}$.

$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ is the *reductive decomposition* corresponding to G/H and $\mathfrak{m}$ is the *reductive complement*.

For any homogeneous reductive space G/H its reductive complement $\mathfrak{m}$ can be identified with the tangent space to G/H at the point $o = H$ in the following sense:

$$\text{for any } h \in H \quad d\tau(h)_o = Ad(h), \quad \text{where } \tau(g) : G/H \rightarrow G/H, \quad xH \rightarrow (gx)H.$$

Since all homogeneous spaces to be discussed in this paper are reductive, we agree to identify their reductive complements and their tangent spaces at the point o .

An *affinor structure* on a smooth manifold is known to be a tensor field of type (1,1) realized as a field of endomorphisms acting on its tangent bundle. In this paper we will be primarily interested in the *almost complex structure* [18] (such an affinor structure J that $J^2 = -\text{id}$) and the *f -structure* [21] (an affinor structure f satisfying $f^3 + f = 0$).

Definition 2. [1] Let G/H be a homogeneous manifold, F an affinor structure. F is called *invariant* with respect to G if for any $g \in G$

$$d\tau(g) \circ F = F \circ d\tau(g).$$

It is known that any invariant affinor structure F on a reductive homogeneous space G/H is completely determined by its value F_o at the point $o = H$, where F_o is a linear operator on the reductive complement $\mathfrak{m}$ such that

$$F_o \circ \text{Ad}(h) = \text{Ad}(h) \circ F_o \text{ for any } h \in H.$$

For this reason, further we will not distinguish an invariant structure F on G/H and its value F_o at the point $o = H$.

1.2. Some important classes in generalized Hermitian geometry. The concept of generalized Hermitian geometry appeared in the 1980s and is mostly associated with the works of V.F. Kiritchenko (see, for example, [16] and [17]). It should be mentioned that this theory is a natural consequence of the development of Hermitian geometry and the theory of almost contact structures with many applications.

In the sequel by $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ we will denote the set of all smooth vector fields on a manifold M .

One of the central objects in generalized Hermitian geometry is a *metric f -structure* [16] (f, g) , where f is an f -structure compatible with a (pseudo) Riemannian metric $g = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ in the following sense:

$$\langle fX, Y \rangle + \langle X, fY \rangle = 0 \text{ for any } X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M).$$

Evidently, this definition generalizes the notion of an almost Hermitian structure J in Hermitian geometry. A manifold M equipped with a metric f -structure is called a *metric f -manifold*.

It is worth noticing that the main classes of generalized Hermitian geometry (see [16], [7], [8], [14], and [15]) in the special case $f = J$, where J is an almost complex structure, coincide with those of Hermitian geometry (see [13]). In this section we will mainly concentrate on the classes **Kill f** , **NK f** , and **$G_1 f$** of metric f -structures.

A fundamental role in generalized Hermitian geometry is played by the tensor T of type $(2, 1)$ which is called a *composition tensor* [16]. In [16] it was shown that such a tensor exists on any metric f -manifold and it is possible to evaluate it explicitly:

$$T(X, Y) = \frac{1}{4}f(\nabla_{fX}(f)fY - \nabla_{f^2X}(f)f^2Y),$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of a (pseudo) Riemannian manifold (M, g) , $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.

With the help of this tensor one can define the structure of a so-called *adjoint Q -algebra* (see [16]) on $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ by the formula $X * Y = T(X, Y)$. It gives the opportunity to introduce some classes of metric f -structures in terms of natural properties of the adjoint Q -algebra.

For example, if

$$T(X, X) = 0 \text{ for any } X \in \mathfrak{X}(M) \tag{1}$$

(that is, if $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ is an anticommutative Q -algebra) then f is referred to as a $G_1 f$ -structure. **$G_1 f$** denotes the class of $G_1 f$ -structures, which was first introduced (in a more general situation) in [16].

A metric f -structure on (M, g) is said to be a *Killing f -structure* [14, 15] if

$$\nabla_X(f)X = 0 \text{ for any } X \in \mathfrak{X}(M) \quad (2)$$

(that is, if f is a Killing tensor). The class of Killing f -structures is denoted by **Kill f**.

The defining property of *nearly Kähler f -structures* (or *NK f -structures*) is

$$\nabla_{fX}(f)fX = 0 \text{ for any } X \in \mathfrak{X}(M). \quad (3)$$

This class of metric f -structures, which is denoted by **NKf**, was first determined in [3] (see also [7, 6]). It is not difficult to see that for $f = J$ the classes **Kill f** and **NKf** coincide with the well-known class **NK** of *nearly Kähler structures* [12].

The following relations between the classes mentioned are evident:

$$\mathbf{Kill f} \subset \mathbf{NKf} \subset \mathbf{G_1 f}. \quad (4)$$

The classical result bellow will be used to rewrite formulas (1), (2) and (3) in a form more suitable for further considerations.

Theorem 1. [18] *Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold, $M = G/H$ a homogeneous reductive space with the reductive decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$. Then the Levi-Civita connection with respect to g can be expressed in the form*

$$\nabla_X Y = \frac{1}{2}[X, Y]_{\mathfrak{m}} + U(X, Y), \quad (5)$$

where U is the symmetric bilinear mapping $\mathfrak{m} \times \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}$ defined by the formula

$$2g(U(X, Y), Z) = g(X, [Z, Y]_{\mathfrak{m}}) + g([Z, X]_{\mathfrak{m}}, Y) \text{ for any } X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{m}. \quad (6)$$

It can be shown in the standard way that the application of (5) to (1), (2) and (3) produces the following result.

Lemma 1. *Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold, $M = G/H$ a reductive homogeneous space with the reductive decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$. Then for an invariant metric f -structure (f, g) on M the following holds.*

1) $f \in \mathbf{G_1 f}$ if and only if

$$f(2U(fX, f^2X) - f(U(fX, fX)) + f(U(f^2X, f^2X))) = 0 \text{ for any } X \in \mathfrak{m}; \quad (7)$$

2) $f \in \mathbf{NKf}$ if and only if

$$\frac{1}{2}[fX, f^2X]_{\mathfrak{m}} + U(fX, f^2X) - f(U(fX, fX)) = 0 \text{ for any } X \in \mathfrak{m}; \quad (8)$$

3) $f \in \mathbf{Kill f}$ if and only if

$$\frac{1}{2}[X, fX]_{\mathfrak{m}} + U(X, fX) - f(U(X, X)) = 0 \text{ for any } X \in \mathfrak{m}. \quad (9)$$

2. MAIN RESULTS

Assumption 1. *Suppose that for a homogeneous reductive space G/H with the reductive decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ the following is true.*

$A_1)$ G is a compact semisimple Lie group (hence the Killing form B on $\mathfrak{g}$ is negative definite).

$A_2)$ The reductive complement $\mathfrak{m}$ admits the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_3 \quad (10)$$

into the direct sum of $\text{Ad}(H)$ -invariant irreducible mutually non-equivalent submodules and this decomposition is B -orthogonal.

$A_3)$

$$0 \neq [\mathfrak{m}_i, \mathfrak{m}_{i+1}] \subset \mathfrak{m}_{i+2} \pmod{3}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \quad (11)$$

$A_4)$

$$[\mathfrak{m}_i, \mathfrak{m}_i] \subset \mathfrak{h}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \quad (12)$$

In the view of $A_1)$ and $A_2)$ any invariant Riemannian metric g on G/H is uniquely determined by the triple of positive real numbers (a_1, a_2, a_3) which implies that

$$g = a_1 g_0|_{\mathfrak{m}_1 \times \mathfrak{m}_1} + a_2 g_0|_{\mathfrak{m}_2 \times \mathfrak{m}_2} + a_3 g_0|_{\mathfrak{m}_3 \times \mathfrak{m}_3}, \quad (13)$$

where g_0 is an invariant inner product generated by the negative of the Killing form B . Further we will refer to (a_1, a_2, a_3) as to the *characteristic numbers* of g . We will also denote the projection of X onto $\mathfrak{m}_i$ by X_i for any $X \in \mathfrak{m}$.

Assumption 1 makes it possible to calculate the symmetric bilinear mapping $U(X, Y)$ defined in the previous section. The proof of the following result, which is nothing but the simplification of (6) in the view of Assumption 1, can be found in [20].

Lemma 2. *Suppose that G/H satisfies Assumption 1. Then the symmetric bilinear mapping U is defined by the formula*

$$\begin{aligned} U(X, Y) = & \frac{a_3 - a_2}{2a_1}([X_2, Y_3] + [Y_2, X_3]) \\ & + \frac{a_3 - a_1}{2a_2}([X_1, Y_3] + [Y_1, X_3]) + \frac{a_2 - a_1}{2a_3}([X_1, Y_2] + [Y_1, X_2]). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Here and below we assume that G/H satisfies Assumption 1.

Lemma 3. *For any invariant affinor structure f on G/H $f(\mathfrak{m}_i)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) is $\text{Ad}(H)$ -invariant.*

Proof. $A_2)$ yields that $\text{Ad}(h)\mathfrak{m}_i \subset \mathfrak{m}_i$ for any $h \in H$. Hence

$$f(\text{Ad}(H)\mathfrak{m}_i) \subset f(\mathfrak{m}_i).$$

f is an invariant affinor structure, therefore

$$\text{Ad}(H)(f(\mathfrak{m}_i)) \subset f(\mathfrak{m}_i).$$

□

Proposition 1. *Let f be an invariant affinor f -structure on G/H with $\text{Ker } f \neq \{0\}$ and $\text{Im } f \neq \{0\}$, G/H satisfies Assumption 1. Then there exists such $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ that either $\text{Im } f = \mathfrak{m}_i$ or $\text{Ker } f = \mathfrak{m}_i$.*

Proof. As $\mathfrak{m} = \text{Ker } f \oplus \text{Im } f$, for any $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ we have

$$\mathfrak{m}_i = (\text{Ker } f)_i \oplus (\text{Im } f)_i,$$

where

$$(\text{Ker } f)_i = \mathfrak{m}_i \cap \text{Ker } f, \quad (\text{Im } f)_i = \mathfrak{m}_i \cap \text{Im } f.$$

Suppose that there exists $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ such that $(\text{Ker } f)_k \neq 0$ and $(\text{Im } f)_k \neq 0$. Obviously, for any $X \in (\text{Ker } f)_k$ and $h \in H$

$$f(\text{Ad}(h)X) = \text{Ad}(h)(f(X)) = 0$$

which implies that $(\text{Ker } f)_k$ is $\text{Ad}(H)$ -invariant.

The same is true for $(\text{Im } f)_k$. Indeed, for any $X \in (\text{Im } f)_k$ we have $\text{Ad}(h)X \in \text{Im } f$ (by Lemma 3) and $\text{Ad}(h)X \in \mathfrak{m}_k$ (by A2)).

In this way we have obtained that $\mathfrak{m}_k$ is decomposed into the sum of the two non-trivial $\text{Ad}(H)$ -invariant subspaces, which contradicts Assumption 1. $\square$

Proposition 1 yields that for any non-trivial invariant affinor f -structure f which is not an almost complex structure the following is true:

- 1) either $f|_{\mathfrak{m}_i} = J$, $f|_{\mathfrak{m}_j \oplus \mathfrak{m}_k} = 0$,
- 2) or $f|_{\mathfrak{m}_i} = 0$, $f|_{\mathfrak{m}_j \oplus \mathfrak{m}_k} = J$,

where $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$, J is an almost complex structure.

Let us consider the first of these two cases. The following statement is valid.

Theorem 2. *Suppose that G/H satisfies Assumption 1, g is an arbitrary invariant Riemannian metrics on G/H . Let (f, g) be an invariant metric f -structure and $f|_{\mathfrak{m}_i} = J$, $f|_{\mathfrak{m}_j \oplus \mathfrak{m}_k} = 0$, where $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$, J is an almost complex structure. Then*

- 1) (f, g) is not a Killing f -structure;
- 2) (f, g) belongs to the class **NKf** (and, consequently, to the class **G₁f**).

Proof. We assume that

$$f|_{\mathfrak{m}_1} = J, f|_{\mathfrak{m}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_3} = 0 \tag{15}$$

(the results for the other cases are obtained via cyclic rearrangement of indices).

1) **Kill f** is defined by the formula (9). Taking (14), (15) and Assumption 1 into account we obtain

$$U(X, fX) = \frac{a_3 - a_1}{2a_2}[(fX)_1, X_3] + \frac{a_2 - a_1}{2a_3}[(fX)_1, X_2],$$

$$f(U(X, X)) = \frac{a_3 - a_2}{a_1}f([X_2, X_3]).$$

Besides,

$$\frac{1}{2}[X, fX]_{\mathfrak{m}} = \frac{1}{2}[X_2, (fX)_1] + \frac{1}{2}[X_3, (fX)_1].$$

Hence, (9) is equivalent to the following relation:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a_3 - a_2 - a_1}{2a_2}[(fX)_1, X_3] + \frac{a_2 - a_1 - a_3}{2a_3}[(fX)_1, X_2] \\ - \frac{a_3 - a_2}{a_1}f([X_2, X_3]) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for any $X \in \mathfrak{m}$.

By $A3$), $[\mathfrak{m}_i, \mathfrak{m}_j] \neq 0$ ($i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}, i \neq j$). Therefore (f, g) belongs to **Kill** f if and only if the characteristic numbers of g satisfy the following set of conditions:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{a_3 - a_2 - a_1}{2a_2} = 0, \\ \frac{a_2 - a_1 - a_3}{2a_3} = 0, \\ \frac{a_3 - a_2}{a_1} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Evidently, this system is inconsistent.

2) The defining property of **NK** f is (8). As (15) holds, (14) yields that

$$U(fX, fX) = U(fX, f^2X) = 0.$$

Moreover, by Assumption 1,

$$\frac{1}{2}[fX, f^2X]_{\mathfrak{m}} = \frac{1}{2}[(fX)_1, (f^2X)_1]_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0.$$

Thus (8) holds for any Riemannian metric. As a particular case, any f satisfying (15) is a G_1f -structure. $\square$

Now let us consider the second group of f -structures.

Theorem 3. *Suppose that G/H satisfies Assumption 1, g is an arbitrary invariant Riemannian metrics on G/H with the characteristic numbers (a_1, a_2, a_3) . Let (f, g) be an invariant metric f -structure, and $f|_{\mathfrak{m}_i} = 0$, $f|_{\mathfrak{m}_j \oplus \mathfrak{m}_k} = J$, where $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$, J is an almost complex structure. Then*

- 1) (f, g) is a G_1f -structure;
- 2) (f, g) is a nearly Kähler f -structure if and only if $a_j = a_k$ and

$$[fX, f^2X]_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0 \text{ for any } X \in \mathfrak{m}; \quad (16)$$

- 3) (f, g) is a Killing f -structure if and only if $a_j = a_k = \frac{4}{3}a_i$ and

$$\begin{cases} [Z, fZ]_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0, \\ [Y, fZ] + f([Y, Z]) = 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{for any } Y \in \mathfrak{m}_i, Z \in \mathfrak{m}_j \oplus \mathfrak{m}_k.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality it can be assumed that

$$f|_{\mathfrak{m}_1} = 0, f|_{\mathfrak{m}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_3} = J. \quad (17)$$

1) It is evident that both

$$U(fX, f^2X) = \frac{a_3 - a_2}{2a_1}([(fX)_2, (f^2X)_3] + [(f^2X)_2, (fX)_3]) \quad (18)$$

and

$$U(fX, fX) = \frac{a_3 - a_2}{a_1}[(fX)_2, (fX)_3] \quad (19)$$

belong to $\text{Ker } f$ for any $X \in \mathfrak{m}$. Therefore (7) holds regardless of the choice of (a_1, a_2, a_3) .

2) Clearly,

$$\frac{1}{2}[fX, f^2X]_{\mathfrak{m}} = \frac{1}{2}[(fX)_2, (f^2X)_3] + \frac{1}{2}[(fX)_3, (f^2X)_2]. \quad (20)$$

Using (18), (19) and (20) we can rewrite (8) as follows:

$$\frac{a_3 - a_2 + a_1}{2a_1}[(fX)_2, (f^2X)_3] + \frac{a_3 - a_2 - a_1}{2a_1}[(f^2X)_2, (fX)_3] = 0 \quad \text{for any } X \in \mathfrak{m}.$$

$a_3 - a_2 + a_1 \neq 0$ (otherwise $a_3 - a_2 - a_1 = 0$ and hence $a_1 = 0$). Thus $f \in \mathbf{Nkf}$ with respect to (a_1, a_2, a_3) if and only if

$$\begin{cases} [(fX)_2, (f^2X)_3] = \frac{a_3 - a_2 - a_1}{a_3 - a_2 + a_1}[(fX)_3, (f^2X)_2], \\ [(fX)_3, (f^2X)_2] = \frac{a_3 - a_2 - a_1}{a_3 - a_2 + a_1}[(fX)_2, (f^2X)_3] \end{cases} \quad \text{for any } X \in \mathfrak{m}$$

(to obtain the second equation we substitute X for fX in the first one). It follows in the standard way that

$$\begin{cases} \left(1 - \left(\frac{a_3 - a_2 - a_1}{a_3 - a_2 + a_1}\right)^2\right) [(fX)_2, (f^2X)_3] = 0, \\ [(fX)_2, (f^2X)_3] = \frac{a_3 - a_2 - a_1}{a_3 - a_2 + a_1}[(fX)_3, (f^2X)_2] \end{cases} \quad \text{for any } X \in \mathfrak{m}.$$

The first equation yields that $\frac{a_3 - a_2 - a_1}{a_3 - a_2 + a_1} = \pm 1$. As a_1, a_2 and a_3 are positive numbers, we have $a_2 = a_3$. Then

$$[(fX)_2, (f^2X)_3] + [(fX)_3, (f^2X)_2] = 0.$$

In the view of (17) and Assumption 1 this means that $[fX, f^2X]_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0$ for any X in $\mathfrak{m}$. Thus 2) is proved.

3) As (4) holds, here we consider f -structures satisfying (16) and invariant Riemannian metrics with characteristic numbers (a_1, a_2, a_2) ($a_1, a_2 > 0$) only.

As above, we check that

$$U(X, X) = \frac{a_2 - a_1}{a_2}[X_1, X_2 + X_3],$$

$$U(X, fX) = \frac{a_2 - a_1}{2a_2}[X_1, (fX)_2 + (fX)_3].$$

Since (16) holds,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}[X, fX]_{\mathfrak{m}} &= \frac{1}{2}[X_1, (fX)_2 + (fX)_3] + \frac{1}{2}[X_2 + X_3, (fX)_2 + (fX)_3]_{\mathfrak{m}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}[X_1, (fX)_2 + (fX)_3]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (9) can be represented as follows:

$$\frac{2a_2 - a_1}{2a_2}[X_1, (fX)_2 + (fX)_3] - \frac{a_2 - a_1}{a_2}f([X_1, X_2 + X_3]) = 0 \quad \text{for any } X \in \mathfrak{m}.$$

For convenience we shall rewrite it in this way:

$$\frac{2a_2 - a_1}{2a_2}[Y, fZ] - \frac{a_2 - a_1}{a_2}f([Y, Z]) = 0 \quad \text{for any } Y \in \mathfrak{m}_1, Z \in \mathfrak{m}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_3.$$

Then it follows that

$$[Y, fZ] = \frac{2(a_2 - a_1)}{2a_2 - a_1}f([Y, Z]) \quad \text{for any } Y \in \mathfrak{m}_1, Z \in \mathfrak{m}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_3 \quad (21)$$

($2a_2 - a_1 \neq 0$, because otherwise $a_1 = a_2 = 0$). If we replace Z by fZ in (21) and then apply f to its both sides, we obtain

$$f([Y, Z]) = \frac{2(a_2 - a_1)}{2a_2 - a_1} [Y, fZ] \text{ for any } Y \in \mathfrak{m}_1, Z \in \mathfrak{m}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_3. \quad (22)$$

(21) and (22) produce the following system of equations

$$\begin{cases} \frac{4(a_2 - a_1)^2}{2a_2 - a_1} = 1, \\ [Y, fZ] = \frac{2(a_2 - a_1)}{2a_2 - a_1} f([Y, Z]) \end{cases} \text{ for any } Y \in \mathfrak{m}_1, Z \in \mathfrak{m}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_3.$$

To conclude the proof, it remains to note that this system is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} a_2 = \frac{4}{3}a_1, \\ [Y, fZ] + f([Y, Z]) = 0 \end{cases} \text{ for any } Y \in \mathfrak{m}_1, Z \in \mathfrak{m}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_3.$$

□

3. EXAMPLES

3.1. The manifolds of oriented flags. In [10] we considered manifolds of oriented flags of the form

$$SO(n)/SO(2) \times SO(n-3) \quad (n \geq 4) \quad (23)$$

as homogeneous Φ -spaces [11] of order 6. We proved that for any $n \geq 4$ the reductive complement $\mathfrak{m}$ of any such space is decomposed into the direct sum $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_3$ of irreducible $Ad(H)$ -invariant summands. For the canonical f -structures on this homogeneous Φ -space of order 6 we obtained the following result (in the notations of [10]).

- 1) For $f_1(\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\theta - \theta^5)$
 $\text{Im } f_1 = \mathfrak{m}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_2, \text{ Ker } f_1 = \mathfrak{m}_3.$
- 2) For $f_2(\theta) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}(\theta - \theta^2 + \theta^4 - \theta^5)$
 $\text{Im } f_2 = \mathfrak{m}_2, \text{ Ker } f_2 = \mathfrak{m}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_3.$
- 3) For $f_3(\theta) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}(\theta + \theta^2 - \theta^4 - \theta^5)$
 $\text{Im } f_3 = \mathfrak{m}_1, \text{ Ker } f_3 = \mathfrak{m}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_3.$
- 4) For $f_4(\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\theta^2 - \theta^4)$
 $\text{Im } f_4 = \mathfrak{m}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_2, \text{ Ker } f_4 = \mathfrak{m}_3.$

In [10] it was checked that for any $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ f_i is compatible with any invariant Riemannian metric (13), where $g_0 = -B(X, Y) = -(n-2) \text{Tr}(X \cdot Y)$.

The application of Theorem 2 immediately gives us that (f_2, g) and (f_3, g) are not Killing f -structures for any invariant Riemannian metric g . Nevertheless, (f_2, g) and (f_3, g) are nearly Kähler f -structures (and, hence, $G_1 f$ -structures) with respect to any invariant Riemannian metric g .

Taking account of the facts that $[f_1 X, f_1^2 X] = 0$, $[f_4 X, f_4^2 X] \neq 0$, and $[Y, f_1 Z] + f_1([Y, Z]) = 0$ for any $X \in \mathfrak{m}$, $Y \in \mathfrak{m}_3$, and $Z \in \mathfrak{m}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_2$, by Theorem 3, we obtain

- 1) (f_1, g) and (f_4, g) are $G_1 f$ -structures for any invariant Riemannian metric g ;

- 2) (f_1, g) belongs to **NKf** if and only if the characteristic numbers of g are (s, s, t) ($s, t > 0$); (f_4, g) is not a nearly Kähler f -structure for any invariant Riemannian metric g ;
- 3) (f_1, g) belongs to **Killf** if and only if the characteristic numbers of g are $(3s, 3s, 4s)$, where $s > 0$. (f_4, g) is not a Killing f -structure for any invariant Riemannian metric g .

The same results were obtained in [10] by means of direct calculations.

3.2. The complex flag manifold. All invariant metric f -structures on the complex flag manifold $SU(3)/T_{max}$ (T_{max} is a maximal torus of $SU(3)$) were considered in the view of generalized Hermitian geometry in [9]. Therefore, here we restrict ourselves to mentioning that $SU(3)/T_{max}$ satisfies the conditions of Assumption 1. Hence Theorems 2 and 3 are applicable in this case.

3.3. The Stiefel manifold. Let us consider $G/H = SO(4)/SO(2)$ (a Stiefel manifold). Then

$$\mathfrak{m} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b_1 & b_2 \\ -a & 0 & c_1 & c_2 \\ -b_1 & -c_1 & 0 & 0 \\ -b_2 & -c_2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : a, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

It is not difficult to see that the manifold in question satisfies Assumption 1. Indeed, there is a decomposition of $\mathfrak{m}$ into the sum of three $Ad(H)$ -invariant mutually inequivalent irreducible submodules $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_3$ (see [2]), where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{m}_1 &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & 0 & 0 \\ -a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : a \in \mathbb{R} \right\}, \\ \mathfrak{m}_2 &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & b_1 & b_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -b_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -b_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{R} \right\}, \\ \mathfrak{m}_3 &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c_1 & c_2 \\ 0 & -c_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -c_2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The conditions A_3) and A_4) are easily checked by straightforward calculations. Let us consider the following f -structures on this manifold:

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 : \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b_1 & b_2 \\ -a & 0 & c_1 & c_2 \\ -b_1 & -c_1 & 0 & 0 \\ -b_2 & -c_2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &\longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & b_2 & -b_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -b_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ b_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ f_2 : \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b_1 & b_2 \\ -a & 0 & c_1 & c_2 \\ -b_1 & -c_1 & 0 & 0 \\ -b_2 & -c_2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &\longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c_2 & -c_1 \\ 0 & -c_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_3 : \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b_1 & b_2 \\ -a & 0 & c_1 & c_2 \\ -b_1 & -c_1 & 0 & 0 \\ -b_2 & -c_2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &\longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & b_2 & -b_1 \\ 0 & 0 & c_2 & -c_1 \\ -b_2 & -c_2 & 0 & 0 \\ b_1 & c_1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
f_4 : \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b_1 & b_2 \\ -a & 0 & c_1 & c_2 \\ -b_1 & -c_1 & 0 & 0 \\ -b_2 & -c_2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &\longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & b_2 & -b_1 \\ 0 & 0 & -c_2 & c_1 \\ -b_2 & c_2 & 0 & 0 \\ b_1 & -c_1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

There is no difficulty in checking that these f -structures are invariant and compatible with any invariant Riemannian metric (13), where $g_0 = -B(X, Y) = -2\text{Tr}(X \cdot Y)$.

By Theorem 2, we obtain that both (f_1, g) and (f_2, g) , where g is an arbitrary invariant Riemannian metric, belong to the classes $\mathbf{NKf}$ and $\mathbf{G_1f}$, but they are not Killing f -structures.

By Theorem 3, we immediately see that (f_3, g) and (f_4, g) are G_1f -structures for any invariant Riemannian metric.

As f_3 does not satisfy (16), (f_3, g) is not an NKf -structure, and, consequently, not a Killing f -structure with respect to any invariant Riemannian metric.

The verification of the respective conditions of Theorem 3 yields that (f_4, g) is an NKf -structure if and only if the characteristic numbers of g are (s, t, t) , where $s, t > 0$. (f_4, g) belongs to $\mathbf{Killf}$ if and only if the characteristic numbers of g are $(4s, 3s, 3s)$, where $s > 0$.

3.4. The quaternionic flag manifold. To conclude this paper, we consider the example of the quaternionic flag manifold $G/H = Sp(3)/SU(2) \times SU(2) \times SU(2)$, which also satisfies Assumption 1 [20]. In this case

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{m} &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x & y \\ -\bar{x} & 0 & z \\ -\bar{y} & -\bar{z} & 0 \end{pmatrix} : x, y, z \in \mathbb{H} \right\}, \\
\mathfrak{m}_1 &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x & 0 \\ -\bar{x} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : x \in \mathbb{H} \right\}, \\
\mathfrak{m}_2 &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\bar{y} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : y \in \mathbb{H} \right\}, \\
\mathfrak{m}_3 &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z \\ 0 & -\bar{z} & 0 \end{pmatrix} : z \in \mathbb{H} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

The following f -structures

$$f|_{\mathfrak{m}_p}(X) = (a_1 \mathbf{i} + a_2 \mathbf{j} + a_3 \mathbf{k})X, \quad a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2 = 1, \quad a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{R}, \quad X \in \mathfrak{m}_p,$$

$$f|_{\mathfrak{m}_q \oplus \mathfrak{m}_r} = 0, \quad \{p, q, r\} = \{1, 2, 3\},$$

are invariant and compatible with any invariant Riemannian metric (13), where $g_0 = -\text{Re}(B(X, Y)) = -8 \text{Re} \text{Tr}(X \cdot Y)$, which is checked by direct calculations. Therefore, by Theorem 2, any of these f -structures is both NKf - and G_1f -structure.

At the same time, it is not a Killing f -structure with respect to any invariant Riemannian metric.

Also invariant and compatible with any invariant Riemannian metric (13) are f -structures of the form

$$f_1 : \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x & y \\ -\bar{x} & 0 & z \\ -\bar{y} & -\bar{z} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & h_1 x & h_2 y \\ -\overline{h_1 x} & 0 & 0 \\ -\overline{h_2 y} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (24)$$

where $h_1, h_2 \in \mathbb{H}$ are such that $\operatorname{Re} h_1 = \operatorname{Re} h_2 = 0$, $|h_1| = |h_2| = 1$.

In this case we have

$$[f_1 X, f_1^2 X]_{\mathfrak{m}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \overline{h_1 x y} - \overline{x h_2 y} \\ 0 & \overline{h_2 y x} - \overline{y h_1 x} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x & y \\ -\bar{x} & 0 & z \\ -\bar{y} & -\bar{z} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{m}.$$

For this reason, $[f_1 X, f_1^2 X]_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0$ for any $X \in \mathfrak{m}$ if and only if $h_1 = \overline{h_2} = -h_2$. At the same time, there exist such $Y \in \mathfrak{m}_3$, $Z \in \mathfrak{m}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_2$ that, regardless of the choice of h_1 and h_2 , $[Y, fZ] + f([Y, Z]) \neq 0$.

Thus, an invariant metric f -structure (f_1, g) , where f_1 is of the form (24), g is an arbitrary Riemannian metric, belongs to the class $\mathbf{G}_1 \mathbf{f}$ and does not belong to the class $\mathbf{Kill} \mathbf{f}$. In this case (f_1, g) is an NKf -structure if and only if $h_1 = -h_2$ and the characteristic numbers of g are (λ, λ, μ) , where $\lambda, \mu > 0$.

Arguing as above, we obtain that for any invariant Riemannian metric g (f_2, g) and (f_3, g) are $G_1 f$ structures and are not NKf -structures (and, consequently, not Killing f -structures). Here

$$f_2 : \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x & y \\ -\bar{x} & 0 & z \\ -\bar{y} & -\bar{z} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & h_1 x & 0 \\ -\overline{h_1 x} & 0 & h_2 z \\ 0 & -\overline{h_2 z} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$f_3 : \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x & y \\ -\bar{x} & 0 & z \\ -\bar{y} & -\bar{z} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & h_1 y \\ 0 & 0 & h_2 z \\ -\overline{h_1 y} & -\overline{h_2 z} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $h_1, h_2 \in \mathbb{H}$ are such that $\operatorname{Re} h_1 = \operatorname{Re} h_2 = 0$, $|h_1| = |h_2| = 1$.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. V. Alekseevsky, V. V. Lychagin, and A. M. Vinogradov, *Main concepts and notions of differential geometry*, Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki: Fundamental Research VINITI **28**, 5–289 (1988) (in Russian).
- [2] A. Arvanitoyeorgos, *An introduction to Lie groups and the geometry of homogeneous spaces*, Student Mathematical Library, vol. 22, American Mathematical Society, Rhode Island, 2003.
- [3] V. V. Balashchenko, *Riemannian geometry of canonical structures on regular Φ -spaces*, Preprint No.174/1994, Fakultät für Mathematik der Ruhr-Universität Bochum, 1–19, 1994.
- [4] V. V. Balashchenko, *Naturally reductive Killing f -manifolds*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **54**, (3), 151–152, (1999); English translation: Russian Math. Surveys **54**, (3), 623–625, (1999).
- [5] V. V. Balashchenko, *Homogeneous Hermitian f -manifolds*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **56**, (3), 159–160, (2001); English translation: Russian Math. Surveys **56**, (3), 575–577, (2001).
- [6] V. V. Balashchenko, *Homogeneous nearly Kähler f -manifolds*, Doklady Akademii Nauk **376**, (4), 439–441, (2001); English translation: Doklady Mathematics **63**, (1), 56–58, (2001).
- [7] V. V. Balashchenko, *Invariant nearly Kähler f -structures on homogeneous spaces*, Contemporary Mathematics **288**, 263–267, (2001).
- [8] V. V. Balashchenko, *Invariant structures generated by Lie group automorphisms on homogeneous spaces*, Proceedings of the Workshop "Contemporary Geometry and Related Topics" (Belgrade, Yugoslavia, 15–21 May, 2002). Editors: N.Bokan, M.Djoric, A.T.Fomenko, Z.Rakic, J.Wess. World Scientific, 1–32, 2004.
- [9] V. V. Balashchenko, *Invariant f -structures in the generalized Hermitian geometry*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/math.DG/0503533>.
- [10] V. V. Balashchenko and A. Sakovich, *Invariant f -structures on the flag manifolds $SO(n)/SO(2) \times SO(n-3)$* , Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **2006**, 1–15, (2006).
- [11] V. V. Balashchenko and N. A. Stepanov, *Canonical affinor structures of classical type on regular Φ -spaces*, Matematicheskii Sbornik **186**, (11), 3–34, (1995); English translation: Sbornik: Mathematics **186**, (11), 1551–1580, (1995).
- [12] A. Gray, *Nearly Kähler manifolds*, J. Diff. Geom. **4**, (3), 283–309, (1970).
- [13] A. Gray and L. M. Hervella, *The sixteen classes of almost Hermitian manifolds and their linear invariants*, Ann. Mat. Pura ed Appl. **123**, (4), 35–58, (1980).
- [14] A. S. Gritsans, *Geometry of Killing f -manifolds*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **45**, (4), 149–150, (1990); English translation: Russian Math. Surveys **45**, (4), 168–169, (1990).
- [15] A. S. Gritsans, *On construction of Killing f -manifolds*, Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat. **6**, 49–57, (1992); English translation: Soviet Math. (Iz. VUZ) **36**, (6), (1992).
- [16] V. F. Kirichenko, *Methods of generalized Hermitian geometry in the theory of almost contact manifolds*, Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki: Probl. Geom. VINITI **18**, 25–71, (1986); English translation: J. Soviet Math **42**, (5), (1988).
- [17] V. F. Kirichenko, *Generalized quasi-Kählerian manifolds and axioms of CR-submanifolds in generalized Hermitian geometry, I*, Geom.Dedicata **51**, 75–104, (1994).
- [18] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, *Foundations of differential geometry*, V.2, Intersc. Publ. J.Wiley&Sons, New York-London, 1969.
- [19] K. Nomizu, *Invariant affine connections on homogeneous spaces*, Amer. J. Math. **76**, (1), 33–65, (1954).
- [20] N. Wallach, *Compact homogeneous Riemannian manifolds with strictly positive curvature*, Ann. Math. **96**, 277–295, (1972).
- [21] K. Yano, *On a structure defined by a tensor field f of type $(1, 1)$ satisfying $f^3 + f = 0$* , Tensor **14**, 99–109, (1963).

ANNA SAKOVICH

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND MECHANICS

BELARUSIAN STATE UNIVERSITY

NEZAVISIMOSTI AV. 4

MINSK 220050, BELARUS

E-mail address: anya_sakovich@tut.by